

# CORRECTIVE ACTION STABILIZATION QUESTIONNAIRE

#1  
-Ve  
NR

Completed by:

Date:

Law Simmons  
6/29/92

## Background Facility Information

Facility Name:

EPA Identification No.:

Location (City, State):

Facility Priority Rank:

Safety-Kleen  
GAD000823070  
HADDEVILLE, GEORGIA  
High

1. Is this checklist being completed for one solid waste management unit (SWMU), several SWMUs, or the entire facility?

Explain.

ENTIRE FACILITY - THERE ARE 6  
SWMU'S ON A 637 ACRE SITE

## Status of Corrective Action Activities at the Facility

2. What is the current status of HSWA corrective action activities at the facility?

- ( ) No corrective action activities initiated
- ☒ RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA) or equivalent completed
- ( ) RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) completed
- ( ) Corrective Measures Study (CMS) completed
- ( ) Corrective Measures Implementation (CMI) begun or completed
- ( ) Interim Measures begun or completed

3. If corrective action activities have been initiated, are they being carried out under a permit or an enforcement order?

- ( ) Operating permit
- ( ) Post-closure permit
- ☒ Enforcement order

4. Have interim measures, if required or completed [see Question 2], been successful in preventing the further spread of contamination at the facility?

- ( ) Yes
- ( ) No
- ( ) Uncertain; still underway

## CONTINUE TO QUESTION 5 ONLY IF THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS ARE MET:

- The facility ranks "High" on the National Corrective Action Prioritization System, AND -NCAPS-
- Interim Measures have not been initiated, or if initiated, have not been successful in preventing the further spread of contamination at the facility.

## Facility Releases and Exposure Concerns

5. To what media have contaminant releases from the facility occurred or been suspected of occurring?

- ☒ Ground water
- ( ) Surface water
- ( ) Air
- ☒ Soils

Docket Number 448872

6. Are contaminant releases migrating off-site?

- ☒ Yes; Indicate media, concentrations, and level of certainty.

Leach of PCE - 40084 Chromium  
in Groundwater

- ☐ No  
☐ Uncertain

7a. Are humans currently being exposed to contaminants released from the facility?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☒ Uncertain

7b. Is there a potential for human exposure to the contaminants released from the facility over the next five to 10 years?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☒ Uncertain

8a. Are environmental receptors currently being exposed to contaminants released from the facility?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☒ Uncertain

8b. Is there a potential that environmental receptors could be exposed to the contaminants released from the facility over the next five to 10 years?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☒ Uncertain

## Anticipated Final Corrective Measures

9. If already identified or planned, would final corrective measures be able to be implemented in time to adequately address any existing or short-term threat to human health and the environment?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☒ Uncertain

Stabilization  
is not covered  
by the Order.

Additional explanatory notes:

CORRECTIVE MEASURES ARE NOT IDENTIFIED.  
HOWEVER, SINCE THESE ACTIVITIES ARE COVERED  
BY AN ORDER, THEY CAN BE INITIATED SOONER  
THAN IF IN A PERMIT OR ISSUING A NEW ORDER

10. Could a stabilization initiative at this facility reduce the present or near-term (e.g., less than two years) risks to human health and the environment?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☒ Uncertain

Additional explanatory notes:

11. If a stabilization activity were not begun, would the threat to human health and the environment significantly increase before final corrective measures could be implemented?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☒ Uncertain

Additional explanatory notes:

## Technical Ability to Implement Stabilization Activities

12. In what phase does the contaminant exist under ambient site conditions?

- ☐ Solid
- ☐ Light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPLs)
- ☒ Dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs)
- ☒ Dissolved in ground water or surface water
- ☐ Gaseous
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

13. Are one or more of the following major chemical groupings of concern at the facility?

- ☒ Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and/or semi-volatiles
- ☒ Polynuclear aromatics (PAHs)
- ☐ Pesticides
- ☐ Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and/or dioxins
- ☐ Other organics
- ☒ Inorganics and metals
- ☐ Explosives
- ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

14. Are appropriate stabilization technologies available to prevent the further spread of contamination, based on contaminant characteristics and the facility's environmental setting? [See Attachment A for a listing of potential stabilization technologies.]

☒ Yes: Indicate possible course of action.

PUMP & TREAT

☐ No: Indicate why stabilization technologies are not appropriate, then go to Question 19.

15. Has the RFI, or another environmental investigation, provided the site characterization and waste release data needed to design and implement a stabilization activity?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If No, can these data be obtained faster than the data needed to implement the final corrective measures?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

## Timing and Other Procedural Issues Associated with Stabilization

16. Can stabilization activities be implemented more quickly than the final corrective measures?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Uncertain

Additional explanatory notes:

Extent of Contamination  
has not been determined

17. Can stabilization activities be incorporated into the final corrective measures at some point in the future?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Uncertain

Additional explanatory notes:

## Conclusion

18. Is this facility an appropriate candidate for stabilization activities?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No, not feasible
- ☐ No, not required

Explain final decision, using additional sheets if necessary.

It is too early in the investigations  
to determine



Conclusion (Designate facility category below before proceeding with conclusions)

\*18. Is this facility an appropriate candidate for stabilization activities?

( ) Yes

( ) No

(✓) Cannot tell at this time, additional data needed

Explain final decision, using additional sheets if necessary.

### Facility Category for Stabilization Evaluation

Category A - Known or expected releases with either known exposures occurring or high potential for exposures to occur (immediate threat).

Category B - Known or expected releases with potential for exposures to occur if Stabilization is not undertaken (not thought to be an immediate threat).

Category C - Known or expected releases, low potential for exposures to occur. Significant continuing releases or migration of releases is likely to cause significant increase in ultimate clean-up cost if Stabilization is not initiated.

For "Yes" answers

Identify specific SWMU's requiring stabilization and the type of releases and summary of data available supporting decision.

For "Cannot tell at this time" answers, specify in detail the type of additional data needed to complete the Stabilization Evaluation and be SWMU specific (i.e. groundwater monitoring data around SWMU #5, old surface impoundment).

Soil Analysis & Groundwater Analysis Around  
all SWMU's and groundwater analysis down gradient

**BLANK PAGE**

ENFORCEMENT  
CONFIDENTIAL

Pinax  
REVISED

DEC 30 1991

#2

RCRA PRIORITIZATION SYSTEM SCORING SUMMARY

FOR

SAFETY-KLEEN, HAPEVILLE

EPA SITE NUMBER: GAD-000-823-070

HAPEVILLE, GA

SCORED BY: MARNITA RILEY

OF DYNAMAC

ON 11/27/91

GROUNDWATER SCORE : 100.00

SURFACE WATER SCORE: 15.13

AIR ROUTE SCORE : 0.00

ONSITE SCORE : 42.86

-----  
MIGRATION SCORE : 54.92

Docket Number 421514

0-823-070

LLE

EPA ID NO. : GAD-00

SAFETY-KLEEN, HAPEVI

WS-1 GROUNDWATER ROUTE

IS THERE AN OBSERVED RELEASE? Y

ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

DEPTH TO AQUIFER (FT.) : NA

NET PRECIPITATION (IN.) : NA

PHYSICAL STATE: NA

CONTAINMENT:

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: DICHLOROBENZENE

TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE VALUE: 18

QUANTITY KNOWN? NO

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS:	0
DRUMS :	0

LARGE STORAGE OR DISPOSAL AREAS ARE PRESENT

TARGETS

GROUNDWATER USE: DRINKING WATER

DISTANCE TO WELL (MILES): 0.4



0-823-070  
LLE

EPA ID NO. : GAD-00  
SAFETY-KLEEN, HAPEVI

WS-2 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

RELEASES

IS THERE AN OBSERVED RELEASE? N  
IS THERE A PERMITTED OUTFALL? N  
HAVE THERE BEEN PERMIT VIOLATIONS? N

ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

FACILITY LOCATION: OTHER  
24-HOUR RAINFALL: 4.0  
DISTANCE TO SURFACE WATER (MILES): 0.24  
PHYSICAL STATE: LIQUID, GAS, SLUDGE

CONTAINMENT: GOOD

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: TETRACHLOROETHYLENE

TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE VALUE: 12

QUANTITY KNOWN? NO

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS:	0
DRUMS :	0

LARGE STORAGE OR DISPOSAL AREAS ARE PRESENT

TARGETS

SURFACE WATER USE: POSSIBLE DRINKING WATER OR RECREATIO

DISTANCE TO INTAKE OR CONTACT POINT (MILES): 0.4

DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (MILES): 3.0

0-823-070  
LLE

EPA ID NO. : GAD-00  
SAFETY-KLEEN, HAPEVI

WS-3 AIR ROUTE

RELEASES

IS THERE AN OBSERVED, UNPERMITTED, ON-GOING RELEASE? N  
DOES THE FACILITY HAVE AN AIR OPERATING PERMIT(S)? N  
HAVE THERE BEEN ANY PERMIT VIOLATIONS OR ODOR COMPLAINTS BY  
RESIDENTS? N  
CAN CONTAMINANTS MIGRATE INTO AIR? N  
CONTAINMENT: GOOD

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: TRICHLOROETHANE

TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE VALUE: 3

QUANTITY KNOWN? NO

CUBIC YARDS OR TONS:	0
DRUMS :	0

LARGE STORAGE OR DISPOSAL AREAS ARE PRESENT

TARGETS

POPULATION: RESIDENCES ARE LOCATED WITHIN FOUR MILES

DISTANCE TO SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT (MILES): 3.0

0-823-070

LLE

EPA ID NO. : GAD-00

SAFETY-KLEEN, HAPEVI

WS-4 ON SITE CONTAMINATION

ACCESS TO SITE: LIMITED ACCESS

IS THERE AN OBSERVED SURFACE SOIL CONTAMINATION? Y

CONTAINMENT: GOOD

WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

CHEMICAL NAME OR WASTE CODE NUMBER: BARIUM

TOXICITY/PERSISTENCE VALUE: 3

TARGETS

DISTANCE TO RESIDENTIAL AREAS (MILES): 0.24

IS THERE AN ON-SITE SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT: N

ENFORCEMENT  
CONFIDENTIAL

RCRA PRIORITIZATION SYSTEM SCORING SUMMARY

FOR

Sally-Kline Facility  
Hapeville, Georgia  
EPA SITE NUMBER: GA D000 823070

---

SCORED BY:

A. Riley

OF

Dynama

ON

11-27-91

GROUND WATER ROUTE SCORE :

SURFACE WATER ROUTE SCORE:

AIR ROUTE SCORE :

ON-SITE SCORE :

MIGRATION SCORE :

For Document # 1107 8-02  
1990

# WS-1 GROUND WATER ROUTE

A. Is there an observed release?

Yes (45) No (0) Possible (10)

B. Route Characteristics

1b. Depth to Aquifer (ft.)

0-20 (6) 21-75 (4) 76-150 (2) 150+ (0)

2b. Net Precipitation (in.)

<-10 (0) -10 to +5 (2) +5 to +15 (4) >15 (6)

3b. Physical State

Stable Solid (0) Unstable Solid (1) Powder, Ash (2) Liquid, Gas Sludge (3)

C. Containment

Very Good (0) Good (1) Fair (2) Poor (3)

D. Waste Characteristics

1d. Chemical name or waste code number

1, dichlorobenzene

2d. Toxicity/Persistence Value

0 (0) 3 (3) 6 (6) 9 (9) 12 (12) 15 (15) 18 (18)

3d. Quantity known?

Yes No

Yes? Enter amount: Cu yds or tons

Drums (+ 4 = cu yds)

Total

No?

Is amount likely to be small

Yes (1)

No

Is amount likely to be large?

Yes (4)

No

Are large storage or disposal areas present?

Yes (8)

No

(only one yes allowed)

E. Targets

1e. Groundwater use:

Drinking water?

Yes (5)

No

Possible drinking water?

Yes (4)

No

Agriculture or industrial?

Yes (3)

No

Quality impacted?

Yes (2)

No

Quality not impacted?

Yes (0)\*

No

(only one yes allowed)

2e. Distance to intake (miles)

<1/2 (4) 1/2 to 1 (3) 1 to 2 (2) 2 to 3 (1) >3 (0)

Note:

\* Cannot be used if A = 45



# WS-2 SURFACE WATER ROUTE

## A. Releases

- 1a. Is there an observed release? Yes (45) No (0)
- 2a. Is there a permitted outfall? Yes (5) No (0)
- 3a. Have there been permit violations? Yes (5) No (0)

## B. Route Characteristics

- 1b. Facility Location Flood-Prone Area (3) 100-year Flood Plain (2) Other (1) *The 100 year Flood Plain is located near the facility.*
- 2b. 24-hour Rainfall (in.) <1.0 (0) 1.0 to 2.0 (1) 2.1 to 3.0 (2) >3.0 (3)
- 3b. Distance to surface water (miles) <1/4 (6) 1/4 to 1 (4) 1 to 2 (2) >2 (0)
- 4b. Physical State Stable Solid (0) Unstable Solid (1) Powder, Ash (2) Liquid, Gas Sludge (3)

## C. Containment

Very Good (0) Good (1) Fair (2) Poor (3)

## D. Waste Characteristics *Site for waste storage is located near a concrete pump - the nearby flood plain is about 1/4 mile away.*

- 1d. Chemical name or waste code number tetrachloroethylene
- 2d. Toxicity/Persistence Value 0 (0) 3 (3) 6 (6) 9 (9) 12 (12) 15 (15) 18 (18)
- 3d. Quantity known? Yes No

Yes? Enter amount: Cu yds or tons \_\_\_\_\_  
Drums \_\_\_\_\_ (+ 4 = cu yds)

Total \_\_\_\_\_

No? Is amount likely to be small Yes (1) No  
Is amount likely to be large? Yes (4) No  
Are large storage or disposal areas present? Yes (8) No  
(only one yes allowed)

*10,000 gallon underground tanks and large storage drums are present.*

# SURFACE WATER ROUTE - Continued

## E. Targets

1e.	Surface Water use:	Drinking water?	Yes (5)	No
		Possible drinking water?	Yes (4)	No
		-Recreation?	Yes (4)	No
		Agriculture or industrial?	Yes (3)	No
		Quality impacted?	Yes (2)	No
		Quality not impacted but within 3 miles?	Yes (1)*	No
		None within 3 miles?	Yes (0)*	No

(only one yes allowed)

2e.	Distance to intake or contact point (miles)	<u>&lt;1/2</u>	<u>1/2 to 1</u>	<u>1 to 2</u>	<u>2 to 3</u>	<u>&gt;3</u>
		(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
3e.	Distance to sensitive environment (miles)	<u>&lt;1/2</u>	<u>1/2 to 1</u>	<u>1 to 2</u>	<u>&gt;2</u>	
		(6)	(4)	(2)	(0)	

Small Creek  
to Flank River  
no. Documentation

Note:

\* Cannot be used if A = 45

# WS-3 AIR ROUTE

## A. Releases

- 1a. Is there an observed, unpermitted, ongoing release? Yes (45) No (0) *No Documentation*
- 2a. Does the facility have an air operating permit? Yes (5) No (0) *No Documentation*
- 3a. Have there been any permit violations or odor complaints by residents? Yes (10) No (0)
- 4a. Can contaminants migrate into air? Yes (3) No (0)
- 5a. Containment Very Good (0) Good (1) Fair (2) Poor (3)

## B. Waste Characteristics

- 1b. Chemical name or waste code number *Waste are stored in 10,000 gallon underground tanks & above ground closed storage drums. Perchloroethylene*
- 2b. Toxicity 0 (0) 1 (3) 2 (6) 3 (9) *mineral spirits*
- 3b. Quantity known? Yes No
- Yes? Enter amount: Cubic yards or tons \_\_\_\_\_  
Drums \_\_\_\_\_ (+ 4 = cu. yds.)  
Total \_\_\_\_\_
- No? Is amount likely to be small? Yes (1) No  
Is amount likely to be large? Yes (4) No  
Are large storage or disposal areas present? Yes (8) No  
(only one yes allowed)

## C. Targets

### 1c. Population

- Are residences located within four miles? Yes (25) No
- Are other industries located within four miles? Yes (20) No
- Are agricultural lands located within four miles? Yes (15) No
- Any other situation. Yes (10) No  
(only one yes allowed)

### 2c. Distance to sensitive environments (miles)

- < 1/2 (6) 1/2 to 1 (4) 1 to 2 (2) > 2 (0) *No Documentation*

# WS-4 ON-SITE CONTAMINATION

A. Access to site Inaccessible Limited Access Unlimited Access  
(0) (2) (4)

B. Is there observed surface soil contamination? Yes No  
(25) (0)

C. Containment Very Good Good Fair Poor  
(1) (2) (3) (4)

D. Waste characteristics

Chemical Name or Waste Code Number

Toxicity/Persistence Value

0 1 2 3  
(0) (1) (2) (3)

E. Targets

1e. Distance to residential areas < 1/4 1/4 to 1/2 1/2 to 1 > 1  
(6) (4) (2) (0)

2e. Is there on-site sensitive environment?

Yes No  
(1) (0)

## CALCULATE ON-SITE SCORE (S<sub>o</sub>)

If A = 0, then S<sub>o</sub> = B x D x (1e + 2e)/21

If A ≠ 0, then S<sub>o</sub> = A x (B + C) x D x (1e + 2e)/21<sup>(a)</sup>

If B + C > 25, then B + C = 25

<sup>(a)</sup> The value 21 standardizes the on-site route score to a value between 0 and 100.

Since 1978

The Safety-Kleen Service Center of Marietta, Georgia has <sup>been</sup> located in an industrial & residential area. Safety-Kleen leases small parts washing equipment and solvents to customers primarily engaged in automotive repair, industrial maintenance, and dry cleaning. Leased solvents include chlorinated and non-chlorinated hydrocarbon compounds, dry cleaning solvents (perchloroethylene), and paint lacquer solvents. Marietta service center is a product distribution and temporary storage facility for hazardous wastes collected from customers.

Wastes disposed or stored at the facility are:

Methylene chloride - F002

Orthodichlorobenzene - F002

Acrylic acid - F004

Perchloroethylene - F003/F004

Waste mineral spirits - D001/D006/D008/

Paint wastes - D001/D006/D007/

D008/F003/F005

A Preliminary site investigation indicated that soil & ground water impacts exist from:



safety Kleen ~~the~~ two storage areas. And from spills

The storage areas are

- in underground 10,000 gallon tanks  
for non-chlorinated solvent (mineral spirits)

- Above ground container storage that stores  
used chlorinated solvents, paint waste, and  
used perchloroethylene which are all eventually  
transferred off site.

soil contamination around the tank storage areas  
do include the shallow soils and water table  
situation to the water table.

Ground water contamination around the tank area  
is composed by non-chlorinated compounds  
and in the above container storage area groundwater  
contamination is predominantly perchloroethylene.

Two spills also occurred

- 1) One spill in the underground area included  
mineral spirits + diesel fuel
- 2) The other spill was in the loading dock of  
perchloroethylene.

Most of the soils in the truck loading  
area was excavated and removed

### Targets

There are wells within a 3 mile radius that serve the City of East Point municipal water supply.

### Distance To Intake

Safe-Kleen's Permit allows them to possibly have a small intermittent creek nearby which flows north to west to the Flint River. Contaminated groundwater flows south.

### Surface To Water Route

Surface water runoff from the site drains into a concrete sump - w/ 100 ft away which collects water from a number of sources, so surface water runoff will not affect the nearby creek or Flint River.